

Research Ethics



HINDUSTAN
INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE
(DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY)

CENTRE FOR RESEARCH & CONSULTANCY



HINDUSTAN
INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE
(DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONTENTS

1. PURPOSE
2. SCOPE
3. POLICY
4. AUTHORSHIP
5. PLAGIARISM
6. PEER REVIEW
7. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST
8. DATA MANAGEMENT
9. RESEARCH MISCONDUCT



HINDUSTAN
INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE
(DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY)

1. PURPOSE

The purpose of Research ethics is to provide guidelines:

- A. For the responsible conduct of research for the good of society
- B. To educate and monitor scientists/ research scholars conducting research to ensure a high ethical standard

2. SCOPE

This policy applies to all researchers to be familiar with the basic ethical principles and have up-to-date knowledge about policies and procedures designed to ensure the safety of research subjects and to prevent sloppy or irresponsible research.

3. CODE OF ETHICS

- I. Shall not indulge in distortion of the Research process by fabrication of data, text hypothesis or methods from another researchers' manuscript form or publication.
- II. Shall not resort to falsification ie. Making up results and recording or reporting them.
- III. Shall not indulge in Plagiarism ie. Appropriation of another person's ideas, processes, results or words without giving appropriate credit.
- IV. In case of scholars commit the act of plagiarism in the Thesis/Journal Publication, his/her Thesis/Degree shall be forfeited and his/her research registration shall be cancelled and also he/she shall be debarred to register for any other program in the University.
- V. Articles that have been published already should not be either resubmitted under another title, or resubmitted with only minor changes to the text unless it is clearly stated that it is a resubmitted article.

VI. Responsible authorship practices are an important part of research. Some of this assistance will require acknowledgement and some will require joint authorship.

4. AUTHORSHIP

Authorship is the process of deciding whose names belong on a research paper. In many cases, research evolves from collaboration and assistance between experts and colleagues. Some of this assistance will require acknowledgement and some will require joint authorship.

Responsible authorship practices are an important part of research. Reporting and analyzing results is the key to applying research findings to the real world. Despite its vital role, authorship remains a murky and vague area for many scientists who frequently run into difficulty when deciding which colleagues should be listed as authors or coauthors, and which colleagues should instead receive acknowledgement. Despite the challenges, researchers should familiarize themselves with proper authorship practices in order to protect their work and ideas while also preventing research fraud.

Each person listed as an author on an article should have significantly contributed to both the research and writing. In addition, all listed authors must be prepared to accept full responsibility for the content of the research article.

Authorship credit should be based only on

- 1) Substantial contributions to conception and design, or acquisition of data, or analysis and Interpretation of data.
- 2) Drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content; and
- 3) Final approval of the version to be published.

Acquisition of funding, the collection of data, or general supervision of the research group, by They do not justify authorship.

5. Plagiarism

Plagiarism is the act of passing off somebody else's ideas, thoughts, pictures, theories, words, or stories as your own. If a researcher plagiarizes the work of others, they are bringing into question the integrity, ethics, and trustworthiness of the sum total of his or her research. In addition, plagiarism is both an illegal act and punishable, considered to be on the same level as stealing from the author that which he or she originally created

Plagiarism takes many forms. On one end of the spectrum are people who intentionally take a passage word-for-word, put it in their own work, and do not properly credit the original author. The other end consists of unintentional paraphrased and fragmented texts the author has pieced together from several works without properly citing the original sources. Research manuscripts will be rejected by publishers if they contain any form of plagiarism – including unintentional plagiarism.

A researcher preparing a written manuscript should cite the original source if he or she:

- “Quotes another person's actual words, either oral or written;
- Paraphrases another person's words, either oral or written;
- Uses another person's idea, opinion, or theory; or
- Borrows facts, statistics, or other illustrative material, unless the information is
Common knowledge
- If a substantial amount of another person's graphics or text will be lifted from a web page, an author should ask permission to use the material from the original author or website host.

6. PEER REVIEW

Peer review is the process in which an author (or authors) submits a written manuscript or article to a journal for publication and the journal editor distributes the article to experts working in the same, or similar, scientific discipline. The experts, otherwise called the reviewers and the editor then enter the peer review process. The process involves the following:

- Reviewers and editors read and evaluate the article
- Reviewers submit their reviews back to the journal editor
- The journal editor takes all comments, including their own, and communicates this feedback to the original author (or authors)

The peer review process seldom proceeds in a straight line. The entire process may involve several rounds of communication between the editor, the reviewers, and the original author (or authors) before an article is fully ready for publication.

The two most important ethical concepts in the peer review process are confidentiality and protection of intellectual property. Reviewers should not know the author (or authors) they are reviewing, and the author (or authors) should not be told the names of the reviewers. Only by maintaining strict confidentiality guidelines can the peer review process be truly open and beneficial. Likewise, no person involved in the peer review process – either the editor, reviewers, or other journal staff – can publicly disclose the information in the article or use the information in a submitted article for personal gain.

Peer reviewers, in addition to maintaining confidentiality, can be neither conflicted nor political in their review. Conflicts may take the form of financial conflicts with the results, conflicts if the research is too similar to their own research endeavours, and conflicts due to personal relationships with the author (or authors).

7. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Conflicts of interest arise when a person's (or an organization's) obligations to a particular research project conflict with their personal interests or obligations. A researcher should attempt to identify potential conflicts of interest in order to confront those issues before they have a chance to do harm or damage. If conflicts of interest do exist, then the objectivity of the researcher and the integrity of the research results can be questioned by any person throughout the research review process.

Researchers should:

- Disclose to their institution any major or significant financial conflicts of interest that might interfere with their ability to conduct a research project objectively
- Disclose any such financial conflicts of interest of their spouses or dependent children

8. DATA MANAGEMENT

Data management in respect to research ethics has three issues: 1) the ethical and truthful collection of reliable data; 2) the ownership and responsibility of collected data; and, 3) retaining data and sharing access to collected data with colleagues and the public. Each issue contributes to the integrity of research and can be easily overlooked by researchers. Oftentimes, researchers will downplay the importance of data management because the details can be time consuming and they assume they can “figure it out” as they go along. It is not adequate research practice to assume issues involved in data collection will work themselves out on their own. Instead, a clear, responsible, ethically sound, and carefully outlined plan for data management is required at the beginning of research to prevent all manners of conflicts and inappropriate research methods.

Ethical data collection refers to collecting data in a way that does not harm or injure someone. Harm and injury could range from outright physical injury to harmful disclosure of unprotected confidential health information. In comparison, truthful data collection refers to data that, once collected, are not manipulated or altered in any way that might impact or falsely influence results.

Assigning and ensuring responsibility for collecting and maintaining data is one of the most important ethical considerations when conducting a research project.

Responsibilities include the following important issues:

- Oversight of the design of the method of data collection
- Protecting research subjects from harm
- Securing and storing data safely to preserve the integrity and privacy of data
- Delegating work with data to others and responsibility over the work of others
- Responsible use of data and truthful portrayal of data results

Protecting intellectual property as well as encouraging data sharing is highly important in order to ensure valid and reliable research. In order to identify what is and is not protected as “intellectual property,” the concept must be clearly defined.

'Intellectual Property' means any invention, discovery, improvement, copyrightable work, integrated circuit mask work, trademark, trade secret, and licensable know-how and related rights. Intellectual property includes, but is not limited to, individual or multimedia works of art or music, *records of confidential information generated or maintained by the University*, data, texts, instructional materials, tests, bibliographies, *research findings*, organisms, cells, viruses, *DNA sequences*, *other biological materials*, probes, crystallographic coordinates, plant lines, chemical compounds, and *theses*. Intellectual property may exist in a written or electronic form, may be raw or derived, and may be in the form of text, multimedia, computer programs, spreadsheets, formatted fields in records or forms within files, databases, graphics, digital images, video and audio recordings, live video or audio broadcasts, performances, two or three-dimensional works of art, musical compositions, executions of processes, film, film strips, slides, charts, transparencies, other visual/aural aids or CD-ROMS.

9. RESEARCH MISCONDUCT

Research misconduct is the process of identifying and reporting unethical or unsound research.

- Fabrication is making up data or results and recording or reporting them. Falsification is manipulating research materials, equipment, or processes, or changing or omitting data or results such that the research is not accurately represented in the research record.
- Plagiarism is the appropriation of another person's ideas, processes, results, or words without giving appropriate credit.

Research misconduct does not include honest error or differences of opinion. Research misconduct can be the result of criminal behaviour. Research misconduct can also be the result of mistaken, negligent, unintentional, lazy, or sloppy research practices. Any person who knows that research is being conducted unethically should raise his or her concerns to the appropriate authorities, whether that person is involved in the research or not. The first step in this instance may likely be a confidential conversation with the person in charge of research integrity at an institution. Once research misconduct has been identified, all parties involved in the research must take responsibility to resolve the situation, including: the principal investigator, co-investigators, the institution hosting the research, the funding agency, and publishing journal editors, if

applicable. When someone is suspected of committing research misconduct, the proper procedure is to first launch an inquiry. If the inquiry reveals a potential research misconduct situation, the second step is to then conduct a full-scale investigation. Finally, the institution uses the information collected during the full-scale investigation to make decisions concerning the presence of misconduct and its severity, and what appropriate corrective action should be taken.



HINDUSTAN
INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE
(DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY)